arXiv:1612.09560v2 [math.DS] 29 Jan 2017

Irreducibility of the Picard-Fuchs equation related to the Lotka-Volterra polynomial $x^2y^2(1-x-y)$

Lubomir Gavrilov

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, UMR 5219

Université de Toulouse, CNRS

UPS IMT, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 9, France

January 31, 2017

Abstract

We prove that the Zarisky closure of the monodromy group of the polynomial $x^2y^2(1-x-y)$ is the symplectic group $Sp(4,\mathbb{C})$. This shows that some previous results about this monodromy representation are wrong.

Contents

1 Introduction. **2**

2 Reduction of the degree of Picard-Fuchs equations. **4**

3 Topology of the fibration, defined by the polynomial $x^py^p(1-x-y)$. **5**
3.1 The germ $(x+\dots)^p(y+\dots)$. 6
3.2 The topology of the fiber $f_t=\{x^py^p(1-x-y)=t\}$ when t is close to $t_2=0$. 8
3.3 The monodromy of the fibers f_t along closed paths near the singular value $t=0$. 9
3.4 The monodromy of the fibration $f:\mathbb{C}^2\to\mathbb{C}\setminus\{t_1,t_2\}$ 11

4 A case study : the polynomial $x^2y^2(1-x-y)$. **12**

5 Concluding remarks **16**

1 Introduction.

The study of a germ of a vector fields in $\mathbb{R}^4$ with two pairs of non-resonant imaginary eigenvalues reduces to the study of the special perturbations

$$xy(1-x-y)d\log H + \varepsilon_1 y dx + \varepsilon_2 y x^2 dx = 0 \tag{1}$$

of the integrable quadratic foliation

$$xy(1-x-y)d\log H = 0 \tag{2}$$

with first integral $H = x^p y^q (1-x-y)$, e.g. [1, chapter 1, section 4.6]. Equivalently, we may consider the following generalized Lotka-Volterra system associated to (2)

$$\begin{cases} x' = x[q(1-x-y)-y] \\ y' = y[x - p(1-x-y)] \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

and the perturbed foliation (1) is associated then to

$$\begin{cases} x' = x[q(1-x-y)-y] \\ y' = y[x - p(1-x-y) + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 x^2]. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The limit cycles of the perturbed system correspond to the zeros of the displacement map

$$\Delta(h) = \frac{1}{h}\int_{H=h} x^{p-1}y^q(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 x^2)dx + O(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2).$$

where $\Gamma_h = \{H = h\}$ is a continuous family of ovals (closed orbits) of the non-perturbed system (3). The unicity of the limit cycle of (1),(4) was shown first by [10, Zoladek,1986], who proved the monotonicity of the function

$$F(h) = \int_{H=h} x^{p-1}y^q dx \Big/ \int_{H=h} x^{p+1}y^q dx$$

on the maximal interval $(0, h_1)$ where the ovals of $\{(x,y) : H(x,y) = h\}$ exist. Note that the system (4) has $\{x = 0\}$ and $\{y = 0\}$ as invariant lines. In this relation, recall that a plane quadratic vector field with an invariant line has a unique limit cycle (if any), as explained by [5, Coppel, 1989], but see also [9, Zegeling and Kooij, 1994].

The case of a more general quadratic perturbations of (3) was studied also by [11, Zoladek, 1994] and revised recetly in [12]. The commont point of the above mentioned papers is, that they use *ad hoc* methods based on apriori estimates. The essential reason why all these estimates hold remains hidden.

In 1985 Van Gils and Horozov [8] gave an overview of the varous methods, which have been used at this time to prove the uniqueness of the limit cycles for the perturbations of the generalized Lotka-Volterra system (3). The central result of their paper is that in the particular case, in which $p = q$ is an integer, the functions

$$I(h) = \alpha \int_{H=h} x^{p+1}y^p\, dx + \beta \int_{H=h} x^{p-1}y^p\, dx,\ \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, h \in (0, h_1) \tag{5}$$

satisfy a Picard-Fuchs equation of second order, whose coefficients are rational in $h^{1/p}$. The authors used then topological arguments as the Rolle's theorem, to bound the zeros of $I(h)$ in terms of the degrees of the coefficients of the Picard-Fuchs equation, from which the result of Zoladek [10] follows.

More precisely, if $h_1 > 0$ and $h_2 = 0$ are the critical values of the Lotka-Volterra integral $H = x^p y^p (1 - x - y)$, then the Abelian integral $I(h)$ (5) allows an analytic continuation from $(0, h_1)$ to a small neighbourhood of h_1. This follows from the Picard-Lefschetz formula and the fact, that the oval $\{H = h\}$ represents a cycle vanishing at h_1. At the other end of the interval, at $h = 0$, the function is not analytic, but has a logarithmic type of singularity, as it follows from a generalised Picard-Lefschetz formula [8]. Namely

$$I(h) = J(z)\ln(z) + K(z),\ z = h^{1/p}$$

for suitable functions $J(z), K(z)$, which are analytic in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$. Note that $I(h) + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}J(z)$ is an analytic continuation of $I(h)$ and hence it is an Abelian integral too. It has therefore a similar logarithmic type singularity at $h = h_1$. Following [8], denote by W the Wronskian

$$W = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{d}{dz}I(z) & \frac{d}{dz}J(z) \\ \frac{d^2}{dz^2}I(z) & \frac{d^2}{dz^2}J(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

The Picard-Lefschetz formula then implies, that $W = W(h)$ as a function in h allows an analytic continuation from the interval $(0, h_1)$ to a neighborhood of h_1, and also that $W(h)$ allows an analytic continuation from the interval $(0, h_1)$ to a covering of a punctured neighbourhood of $h = 0$, in which W is analytic in $z = h^{1/p}$. From this, the authors concluded that W is in fact a rational function in $z = h^{1/p}$, and even computed it explicitly [8, Lemma 1].

This conclusion, that W is a rational function in $h^{1/p}$ is, however, wrong. Indeed, there exist functions of moderate growth, analytic on the universal covering of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, h_1\}$, with a branch on $(0, h_1)$ which are analytic at h_1, analytic in $h^{1/p}$ at $h = 0$, but still not algebraic in h. To construct an example, consider a second order Fuchs equation with singular points at $0, h_1, \infty$ and Riemann scheme

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & h_1 & \infty \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha \\ \frac{1}{p} & 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha + \beta + 1/p = 1$. Let $I(h)$ be a (branch of a) solution on $(0, h_1)$, analyic in a small neighbourhood of $h = h_1$. For generic values of the characteristic exponent α (or β) our equation has no algebraic solutions. Therefore $I(h)$ can not be analytic in a neighbourhood of $h = 0$ too. It is concluded that $I(h)$ is analytic in $h^{1/p}$ in a neighbourhood of $h = 0$. Clearly, this argument points out a gap, but does not disproof the result of [8].

The purpose of the present note is to study in more detail the monodromy representation of the Lotka-Volterra polynomial $H = x^p y^p (1 - x - y)$, in the case when p is an integer. The knowledge of this monodromy representation allows, according to [3], to compute the minimal degree of the differential equation satisfied by $I(h)$. We shall show in this way, that in the first non trivial case $p = 2$, the Abelian integral $I(h)$ satisfies a linear differential equation of minimal degree four, even if the coefficients are supposed to be algebraic functions. *Thus, the result of [8, Lemma 1] is definitely wrong.* Note also, that the the computation of [3, section 3.3], and in particular Corollary 4 there, are also wrong.

We prove in fact a more general result about the attached Lie group $\mathbf{G}$, which is the Zarisky closure of the monodromy group of the polynomial $H(x, y)$. Namely, we show that in the case $p = 2$ the group $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to the symplectic group $Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$, see Theorem 2. As the standard representation of $Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$ is irreducible, then according to Corolary 6 we obtain

The Abelian integral (5) satisfies a Fuchs type equation of minimal degree four, even if its coefficients are supposed to be algebraic functions in h.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next short section we give some background, concerning the reduction of the degree of Picard-Fuchs operators. In section 2 we determine explicitely the monodromy operators, related to the two singular critical values of H. This (long) computation is contained in principal in [3], in the case of arbitrary integers p, q and $H = x^p y^q (1 - x - y)$. In the particular case $p = q$, part of these computations simplify, and for this reason we give here an independent treatment.

It is a straightforward observation, that the monodromy representation of $H = x^p y^p (1 - x - y)$ is reducible. We have in fact a two-dimensional plane V_2 of zero-cycles on which the monodromy acts as identity, as well a complementary $2p$-dimensional plane V_{2p}, invariant under the action of the monodromy group. The nature of this sub-representation V_{2p} is studied in the last section 3 in the simplest non-trivial case $p = 2$. By taking the Zarisky closure of the monodromy group, we find that the sub-representation V_4 coincides with the standard representation of the symplectic group $Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$. This, combined with section 2 implies the claims about the degree of the Picard-Fuchs equation.

2 Reduction of the degree of Picard-Fuchs equations.

In this section we summarize, following [3], the necessary facts about the reduction of the degree of Picard-Fuchs differential operators.

To a non-constant polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ we associate its monodromy representation

$$\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus S, b) \to Aut(H_1(f_b, \mathbb{Z}))$$

where $f_t = f^{-1}(t) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ are the fibers of the fibration $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$. The image of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus S, b)$ is the monodromy group $\mathcal{M}$. The Zarisky closure $\mathbf{G} = \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is a linear algebraic group, embedded in $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$, $d = \dim H_1(f_b, \mathbb{Z})$. It is nothing but the differential Galois group of a generic Picard-Fuchs system, related

to the fibration defined by f. In the sequel, an important role is played by the connected component $\mathbf{G}^0$ of $\mathbf{G}$, containing the identity transformation.

Let $\delta(t) \subset H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$ be a continuous family of cycles, and ω a polynomial one-form. The Abelian integral

$$I(t) = \int_{\delta(t)} \omega$$

satisfies a Picard-Fuchs equation of minimal degree d

$$I^{(d)} + a_1 I^{(d-1)} + \dots a_d I = 0$$

whose coefficients are rational functions in t, $a_i \in \mathbb{C}(t)$. Let $V \subset H_1(f_b, \mathbb{C})$ be a vector plane, invariant under $\mathbf{G}$. Then obviously $d \leq \dim V$. Let $\gamma \in V$ and $\gamma(t)$ the corresponding continuous family of cycles (a locally constant section of the homology bundle). The set of such γ with the property $\int_{\gamma(t)} \omega \equiv 0$ is an invariant sub-plane of V which we denote by V_1. We conclude that $d = \dim V - \dim V_1$.

Consider now the following reduction problem.:

Find a differential equation

$$I^{(d^0)} + b_1 I^{(d^0-1)} + \dots b_{d^0} I = 0 \tag{6}$$

of minimal degree $d^0 \leq d$, such that $b_i = b_i(t)$ are algebraic functions in t.

The computation of the degree d_0 goes along the same lines as above, except that the Lie group $\mathbf{G}$ is replaced by $\mathbf{G}^0$. Namely, let $V^0 \subset H_1(f_b, \mathbb{C})$ be a plane, invariant under $\mathbf{G}^0$, that is to say a sub-representation of $\mathbf{G}^0$. Such a plane was called virtually invariant in [3]. It follows that $d_0 \leq \dim V^0$. Let $\gamma \in V^0$ and $\gamma(t)$ the corresponding continuous family of cycles as above. The set of such γ with the property $\int_{\gamma(t)} \omega \equiv 0$ is a virtually invariant sub-plane of V^0 which we denote by V_1^0. We conclude that

Theorem 1. $d^0 = \dim V^0 - \dim V_1^0$.

Corollary 1. *If the representation of $\mathbf{G}^0$ on V^0 is irreducible, then the minimal degree of the differential operator (6) equals* $\dim V^0$.

See [3] for proofs.

3 Topology of the fibration, defined by the polynomial $x^p y^p (1 - x - y)$.

Denote

$$f = x^p y^p (1 - x - y)$$

for some $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$. The polynomial f has two critical values $t_1 = \frac{p^{2p}}{(2p+1)^{2p+1}}$ and $t_2 = 0$ and non-isolated critical points along the lines $x = 0$ and $y = 0$. For $t \neq t_{1,2}$ the algebraic curve $\Gamma_t = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : f(x, y) = t\}$ is a smooth Riemann surface and its fundamental group has $2p + 2$ generators, see Fig.5. As Γ_t has three punctures (at infinity), then it is a genus p algebraic curve. We wish to describe the "continuous

variation" of Γ_t when t varies along closed circuits in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{t_1, t_2\}$, or equivalently,the topology of the fibration

$$f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{t_1, t_2\}$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto f(x, y) = x^p y^p (1 - x - y)$$

The calculation of this geometric monodromy is in general a difficult task, see the survey of Siersma [7].

To begin with, we first localize f at the singular points $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ and obtain a germ of analytic function with non-isolated critical points. We study first their geometric monodromy, which is straightforward.

3.1 The germ $(x + \dots)^p (y + \dots)$.

Let $f : \mathbb{C}^2, 0 \to \mathbb{C}, 0$ be a germ of analytic function, such that

$$f_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : f(x, y) = 0\}$$

defines a germ of a divisor with simple normal crossing and multiplicities 1 and p. In appropriate coordinates in a suitable neighborhood of the origin we have $f(x, y) = x^p y$, which will be assumed until the end of this section.

The *marked fibration* associated to f is by definition the usual fibration

$$f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C} \setminus 0$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto f(x, y) = x^p y$$

whose fibers are the Riemann surfaces (topological cylinders)

$$f_t = \{(x, y) : x^p y = t\}$$

with $p + 1$ marked points corresponding to the intersection of f_t with the two fixed lines $\{x = 1\}$ and $\{y = 1\}$

$$S_t = \{(1, t), (t^{1/p} e^{2k\pi i/p}, 1), k = 0, 1 \dots, p - 1\}.$$

Continuous deformation of t induces an isotopy of the marked fibers f_t. A continous variation of t along a closed circuit about the origin induces therefore a diffeomorphism (geometric monodromy)

$$M : f_t \to f_t, t \neq 0$$

defined up to an isotopy, which permutes the marked points. We wish to describe M along the same lines, as in the case of an isolated singularity of Morse type, $p = 1$, e.g. [2].

It is convenient to consider the fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(f_t, S_t)$ of the pair (f_t, S_t) [4], which replaces the common fundamental group $\pi_1(f_t, *)$ in the case $p = 1$. Recall that this groupoid is the set of homotopy classes of loops $\gamma : [0, 1] \to f_t$ such that $\gamma(0), \gamma(1) \in S_t$. Two loops γ_1, γ_2 are composable, if $\gamma_2(1) = \gamma_1(0)$ and in this case

the homotopy class of $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma_2 \in \pi_1(f_t, S)$ is well defined. In the case when $S_t = *$ is a single point $\pi_1(f_t, S_t) = \pi_1(f_t, *)$ is the usual fundamental group of the fiber f_t. The fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(f_t, S)$ is freely generated by $p+1$ loops, as shown on fig.1 in the particular case $p = 3$.

The geometric monodromy M defines a homomorphisms

$$m_* : \pi_1(f_t, S_t) \to \pi_1(f_t, S_t).$$

Clearly, M permutes cyclically the points $(t^{1/p}, 1)$ and fixes $(t, 1)$. Define a loop γ_0 connecting $(t, 1)$ to a point in the set $\{(t^{1/p}, 1)\}$ and then inductively

$$\gamma_k = m_*^k \gamma_0, k = 0, 1, ..., p. \tag{7}$$

Proposition 1. *The $p+1$ loops $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p$ generate the groupoid $\pi_1(f_t, S_t)$, the closed loop $\alpha = \gamma_0^{-1} \circ \gamma_p$ generates the fundamental group $\pi_1(f_t, *) = \mathbb{Z}$. (see fig. 1).*

Proof. The projection $(x, y) \to x$ maps f_t isomorphically to $\mathbb{C}^*$, and the images of the marked points are

$$1, t^{1/p} e^{2k\pi i/p}, k = 0, 1 \ldots, p-1.$$

Alternatively, in the ball $B_R = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |x|^2 + |y|^2 \leq R^2\}$, for sufficiently small $|t|$ the surface $f_t \cap B_R$ is projected under $(x, y) \to x$ to the annulus shown on fig.1. When t makes one turn around the origin, in a clockwise direction, the marked points $t^{1/p} e^{2k\pi i/p}$ permute cyclically, and the corresponding paths γ_k connecting 1 to $t^{1/p} e^{2k\pi i/p}$ are as on fig. 1. Note that in the case $p = 1$ we get the usual Picard-Lefschetz formula. □

For a further use, note the following

Corollary 2. *It is always possible to choose the initial loop γ_0 in such a way, that γ_i, $0 \leq i \leq p$, are non-intersecting, and non self-intersecting loops. In this case the union $\cup_{i=0}^p \gamma_i \subset f_t$ is an embedded planar graph, which is a deformation retract of the marked cylinder f_t.*

Consider finally the relative homology group $H_1(f_t, S_t) = H_1(f_t, S_t, \mathbb{Z})$ which is isomorphic as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module to $\mathbb{Z}^{p+1}$. The generators of $H_1(f_t, S_t)$ are represented by the paths $\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p$ defined in Proposition 1. We conclude that the corresponding equivalence classes of paths

$$[\gamma_0], [\gamma_1], \ldots, [\gamma_{p-1}], [\gamma_p] = [\alpha] + [\gamma_0] \in H_1(f_t, S_t).$$

define a basis of the relative homology group.

The diffeomorphism $M = f_t \to f_t$ induces a homomorphism (Picard-Lefschetz monodromy operator)

$$M_* : H_1(f_t, S_t) \to H_1(f_t, S_t).$$

Note that $M_*[\alpha] = [\alpha]$ and in the basis

$$[\gamma_0], [\gamma_1], \ldots, [\gamma_{p-1}], [\alpha]$$



Figure 1: The fundamental groupoid $\pi_1(f_t, S)$ of the cylinder $f_t = \{x^3y = t\}$ with four marked points.

M_* is represented by the matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc|c} 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

with characteristic polynomial

$$(-1)^{p+1}(\lambda - 1)(\lambda^p - 1).$$

3.2 The topology of the fiber $f_t = \{x^p y^p (1 - x - y) = t\}$ when t is close to $t_2 = 0$.

Our aim in this section is to construct explicit generators for the fundamental group of f_t which allows a simple description of the action of the monodromy transformations of the fibration, defined by f. The generators will be presented in the form of an embedded graph, which is a deformation retract of f_t (see Corollary 2).

Namely, consider the real triangle, with vertices the singular points $(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a suitable tubular neighborhood of this triangle. We suppose that ∂U is transversal to the complex lines

$$\{x = 0, y = 0, x + y + 1 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$$



Figure 2: Tubular neighborhood of the triangle, with vertices at the singular points $(0,0),(1,0),(0,1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

and moreover $f_0 \cap U$ is a deformation retract of f_0. It follows that for sufficiently small $|t|$ the border ∂U is transversal also to f_t, and that $f_t \cap U$ is a deformation retract of f_t. This allows to localize our description of the fiber f_t near the triangle with vertices $(0,0),(1,0),(0,1)$, see fig. 2.

Consider three cross-sections (complex discs) transversal to the sides, dividing the triangle into three pieces, with corresponding tubular neighborhoods U_{13}, U_{23}, U_{12}, where $U = U_{13} \cup U_{23} \cup U_{12}$. The cross sections intersect the fiber $f_t \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ for sufficiently small non-zero $|t|$ in exactly p, p and 1 points respectively, which will be the marked points from the section 3.1. Let U_{12} be the tubular neighborhood, containing $(0,0)$. Then $f_t \cap U_{12}$ has p connected components (topological cylinders) which coincide with the fibers of a Morse polynomial, and it is retracted to p disjoint segments. The fibers $f_t \cap U_{13}$ and $f_t \cap U_{23}$ are described as in the section 3.1, Corollary 2.

We construct now a graph, embedded in $f_t \cap U$ which is a deformation retract of f_t. For this purpose we take together the corresponding graphs near the singular point $(0,0),(1,0),(0,1)$, constructed in section 3.1. The assembling of these graphs is shown on fig. 3 in the case $p = 3$, the general case of arbitrary p being analogous. It is easy to check (by making use of a partion of the unity) that the resulting graph is embedded in f_t and is a deformation retract of f_t.

3.3 The monodromy of the fibers f_t along closed paths near the singular value $t = 0$.

The next step is to describe the action of the monodromy transformation $M : f_t \to f_t$, corresponding to the singular value $t = 0$, which once again easily follows from the preceding constructions. More specifically, we shall describe the linear operator $M_* \in Aut(H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z}))$. We begin by choosing a suitable basis of $H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$. In a suitable neighborhood of $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ the fiber $f_t = \{x^p y^p (1 - x - y) = t\}$ has p connected components homeomorphic to cylinders, and each component carries a vanishing cycle



Figure 3: Assembling of a deformation retract of the fiber $f_t = \{x^3y^3(1-x-y) = t\}$.

denoted

$$\delta_{12}^k, k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1.$$

At $(0,1)$ and $(1,0)$ we have vanishing cycles denoted respectively δ_{13} and δ_{23}. We have therefore $p+2$ vanishing cycles, additional p cycles are constructed as follows

$$\delta_k = M^k\delta_0, k = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$$

where $\delta_0 = \delta(t)$ is represented by the oval of f_t for $t_1 < t < t_2$. The $2p+2$ cycles which we described are independent in $H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 2. *The monodromy operator M_* acts as follows*

$$\boxed{\delta_{12}^0 \to \delta_{12}^1 \to \cdots \to \delta_{12}^{p-1} \to \delta_{12}^0, \;\; \delta_{13} \to \delta_{13}, \delta_{23} \to \delta_{23}}$$

and

$$\boxed{\delta_0 \to \delta_1 \to \cdots \to \delta_{p-1}, \;\; \delta_{p-1} \to \delta_0 - \delta_{12}^0 - \delta_{13} - \delta_{23}.}$$

Proof. Having described the monodromy of the fiber f_t localized around the singular points of f, everything is obvious, except $M_*\delta_{p-1}$. To compute $M_*\delta_{p-1} = M_*^p\delta_0$ we use the action of M^p described in the relative homology of the local fibers. As noted in [8] (or see fig. 1) M^p is a Picard-Lefschetz operator, and

$$M_*^p\delta_0 = \delta_0 - \delta_{12}^0 - \delta_{13} - \delta_{23}.$$

□

It is straigthtforward to check that the characteristic and the minimal polynomials of M_* are equal respectively to

$$(\lambda-1)^2(\lambda^p-1)^2, (\lambda-1)(\lambda^p-1)^2$$

Corollary 3. *The orbit of the cycle δ_0 under the action of M_* spans the $2p$-dimensional vector space generated by*

$$\delta_i, \delta_{12}^i + \delta_{13} + \delta_{23}, \; i = 0, 1, \ldots, p-1.$$



Proof.

Figure 4: The biholomorphic image of f_t on the line $1-x-y=0$ in U_{12}

3.4 The monodromy of the fibration $f : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C} \setminus \{t_1, t_2\}$.

The monodromy group is generated by two operators, M_1 and $M_2 = M$ related to the singular values $t_1, t_2 = 0$. The value t_1 corresponds to a Morse critical point and the operator M_1 is given by the Picard-Lefschetz formula. Its description amounts to compute the intersection form on $H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 3. *The intersection numbers of the* $2p+2$ *cycles*

$$\delta_{13}, \delta_{23}, \delta_i, \delta_{12}^i, 0 \le i, j \le p-1$$

generating $H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$ *are as follows*

$$(\delta_{12}^i \cdot \delta_{12}^j) = 0, (\delta_{12}^i \cdot \delta_{13}) = 0, (\delta_{12}^i \cdot \delta_{23}) = 0, (\delta_{13} \cdot \delta_{23}) = 0$$

$$(\delta_i \cdot \delta_{13}) = (\delta_i \cdot \delta_{23}) = 1$$
$$(\delta_i \cdot \delta_{12}^i) = 1; (\delta_i \cdot \delta_{12}^j) = 0, i \neq j$$
$$(\delta_i \cdot \delta_j) = -1, i < j.$$

The first and the third lines are obvious. For the second, we choose an orientation on δ_{13}, δ_{23} in such a way, that

$$(\delta_0 \cdot \delta_{13}) = (\delta_0 \cdot \delta_{23}) = 1$$

and then use the invariance of the intersection number under the action of the monodromy. The only non-trivial fact is the fourth line. We note that according to fig. 3, the intersection number of the relative cycles $\delta_i \cap U_{12}$, $\delta_j \cap U_{12}$ are well defined and equal 0. The fibration defined by f in $U_{23} \cup U_{13}$ can be further continuously deformed in a way, which does not change the topology of the fibers and their monodromy. Namely, by such a deformation we may replace f by

$$\tilde{f} = (1-x-y)x^p(1-x))$$

and consider the linear projection

$$\pi : U_{23} \cup U_{13} \to U_{23} \cup U_{13} \cap \{1-x-y=0\}$$

parallel to the lines $x = const$. As in the section 3.1, the projection

$$\pi : U_{23} \cup U_{13} \cap f_t \to U_{23} \cup U_{13} \cap \{1 - x - y = 0\}$$

is an injectif local biholomorphism, and its image is shown on fig. 4. When t makes one turn around the origin, the two marked points corresponding to the ends of the relative cycle $\delta_0 \cap U_{23} \cup U_{13}$ turn in the same direction. The result is the relative cycle $\delta_1 \cap U_{23} \cup U_{13}$ shown on fig. 4. This already proves that

$$(\delta_0 \cdot \delta_i) = (\delta_0 \cdot \delta_j) = \pm 1, 1 \leq i, j \leq p - 1$$

and by invariance of the intersection form the numbers

$$(\delta_i \cdot \delta_j), 1 \leq i < j \leq p - 1$$

are all equal to the either $+1$ or to -1. We have finally

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta_0 \cdot \delta_1) =& (M_*^{p-1}\delta_0 \cdot M_*^{p-1}\delta_1) = (\delta_{p-1} \cdot M_*^p \delta_0) \\ =& (\delta_{p-1} \cdot (\delta_0 - \delta_{12}^0 - \delta_{13} - \delta_{23})) = -(\delta_0 \cdot \delta_{p-1}) - 2 \\ =& -(\delta_0 \cdot \delta_1) - 2\end{aligned}$$

and hence $(\delta_0 \cdot \delta_1) = -1$. □

4 A case study : the polynomial $x^2y^2(1 - x - y)$.

In this section we consider in detail the first non-trivial case $p = 2$, in which the fibers f_t are genus two Riemann surfaces with three punctures. For definiteness, denote $M_1, M_2 = M \in Aut(H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z}))$ the monodromy operators associated to simple closed loops around t_1 or respectively $t_2 = 0$. The monodromy group $\mathbf{M}$ of the polynomial $x^2y^2(1 - x - y)$ is then the subgroup of $Aut(H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z}))$ generated by M_1, M_2. The smallest algebraic variety containing $\mathbf{M}$ is an algebraic group, denoted $\mathbf{G}$. It is the Zarisky closure of $\mathbf{M}$.

We note that $(H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z}))$ carries a (degenerate) intersection form ω of rank $p = 2$ invariant under the action of M_1, M_2. It is easily verified, that $\mathbf{M}$ and hence $\mathbf{G}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the symplectic group $Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$.

We shall prove the following

Theorem 2. *The Zarisky closure of the monodromy group of the polynomial $x^2y^2(1 - x - y)$ is isomorphic to the symplectic group $Sp(4, \mathbb{C})$.*

To the end of the section we give the proof of this remarkable fact. A basis of the first homology group $H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$ will be chosen as in the preceding section

$$\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_{12}^0, \delta_{12}^0, \delta_{13}, \delta_{23} \tag{8}$$

where $\delta_0 = \delta_0(t)$ is a cycle vanishing at the unique Morse critical point when t tends to t_1, δ_{13}, δ_{23} are vanishing cycles at the singular points $(1, 0), (0, 1)$, and $\delta_{12}^0, \delta_{12}^0$ are



Figure 5: The canonical basis of generators of the fundamental group of the fiber f_t.

cycles vanishing at $(0, 0)$. The cycle δ_1 is the image of δ_0 under the action of the monodromy operator about the singular value $t = 0$, $\delta_1 = M_*\delta_0$. The cycles (8) are represented by closed loops on the Riemann surface f_t, and by abuse of notation we denote these loops by the same letter. The closed loops can be chosen in a way that their union is a deformation retract of f_t, see fig.5.

To compute M_1 we note that according to Proposition 3 the sign of intersection indexes of the cycles of $H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$ can be chosen as follows

	δ_0	δ_1	δ_{12}^0	δ_{12}^1	δ_{13}	δ_{23}
δ_0	0	-1	1	0	1	1
δ_1	1	0	0	1	1	1
δ_{12}^0	-1	0	0	0	0	0
δ_{12}^1	0	-1	0	0	0	0
δ_{13}	-1	-1	0	0	0	0
δ_{23}	-1	-1	0	0	0	0

The monodromy operators M_1 and M_2^2 in this basis (8) are represented by the fol-

lowing matrices (denoted by the same letter).

$$M_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccccc} 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right), \quad M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc|cc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)$$

The homology group $H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$ splits into two invariant subspaces under $\mathbf{M}$

$$H_1(\Gamma_h, \mathbb{C}) = V_1 \oplus V_2$$

where

$V_1 = Span\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_{12}^0 + \delta_{13} + \delta_{23}, \delta_{12}^1 + \delta_{13} + \delta_{23}\}, V_2 = Span\{\delta_{13} - \delta_{23}, \delta_{13} + \delta_{23} - 2\delta_{12}^0 - 2\delta_{12}^1\}.$

The monodromy group $\mathbf{M}$ acts on V_2 as the identity transformation, and on V_1 in the basis $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_{12}^0 + \delta_{13} + \delta_{23}, \delta_{12}^1 + \delta_{13} + \delta_{23}$ the monodromy operators are represented by the following matrices (which we denote by the same letters)

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{G}$, that is to say the tangent space $T_I\mathbf{G}$ of the variety $\mathbf{G}$ at the identity matrix I. Clearly $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to a sub-algebra of $sp(4, \mathbb{C})$ and to prove Theorem 2 it will be enough to check that $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to $sp(4, \mathbb{C})$. For this let us note first that

$$M_1^k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -k & 3k & 2k \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

which implies

$$M_1^z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z & 3z & 2z \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{G}, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$$

and hence

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Similarly

$$M_2^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M_2 M_1 M_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and taking powers of these matrices we conclude that

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

belong to $\mathfrak{g}$. We shall check that in fact $\mathfrak{g}$ is generated as a Lie algebra by a, b, c.

Proposition 4. *The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the matrices a, b, c is isomorphic to the symplectic algebra $\mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. As $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{C})$ it is enough to compute the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{sp}(4, \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the intersection form on $V_1 \subset H_1(f_t, \mathbb{Z})$, and verify that the basis of the decomposition belongs to $\mathfrak{g}$. Note first that

$$[[a, b], a] = -2a, [[a, b], b] = 2b$$

and hence the matrices $a, b, [a, b]$ generate $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. This suggests that the matrix $H_1 = [a, b]$ belongs to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$. To find a second element H_2 of $\mathfrak{h}$ we compute (some) eigenvectors of $\mathrm{ad}_{H_1} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, where $\mathrm{ad}_{H_1}(X) = H_1 X - X H_1$, until finding an appropriate candidate for H_2, after what we display the various root spaces. Namely, let

$$X_{21} = -3[a, b] + [a, c] - 4a, X_{12} = 3[a, b] + [b, c] + 4b, H_2 = [X_{21}, X_{12}].$$

Then $\mathfrak{h} =< H_1, H_2 >$ is the Cartan subalgebra and let

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^*, \lambda_1(H_1) = 1, \lambda_1(H_2) = -5, \lambda_2(H_1) = 0, \lambda(H_2) = 5.$$

be a basis of the dual space $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Then it is straightforward to check that $\pm\lambda_1 \pm \lambda_2$ are roots with corresponding one-dimensional roots spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm\lambda_1 \pm \lambda_2}$ spanned by the vector on the second line of Table 1, where

$$Y_{12} = [X_{21}, b], Z_{12} = [X_{12}, a], U_1 = b, V_1 = a, U_2 = [[X_{21}, b], X_{21}], V_2 = [X_{12}, [X_{12}, a]].$$

□

$\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda_1-\lambda_2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda_1-\lambda_2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{2\lambda_1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-2\lambda_1}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{2\lambda_2}$	$\mathfrak{g}_{-2\lambda_2}$
X_{12}	X_{21}	Y_{12}	Z_{12}	U_1	V_1	U_2	V_2

Table 1: Root spaces of $\mathfrak{g}$

5 Concluding remarks

Let $f = f(x, y)$ be an arbitrary non-constant polynomial. The set $\mathcal{A}$ of its non-regular values is finite and therefore we can consider the monodromy representation of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}, *)$ on $H_1(f^{-1}(t), \mathbb{Z})$. Cearly the representation preserves the intersection form of the first homology group $H_1(f^{-1}(t), \mathbb{Z})$.

The subplane $V_0 \subset H_1(f^{-1}(t), \mathbb{Z})$ of zero-cycles (the kernel of the intersection form) is invariant, and $\pi_1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}, *)$ acts on it trivially. Therefore the reduced representation of the fundamental group on $V = H_1(f^{-1}(t), \mathbb{Z})/V_0$ is well defined too, and V carries an invariant *non-degenerate* intersection form. The reduced monodromy group is thus a subgroup of $Sp(2p, \mathbb{C})$ and denote by $\mathbf{G}$ its Zarisky closure. Here $2p = \dim V$ and p is the genus of the Riemann surface of $f^{-1}(t)$, $t \notin \mathcal{A}$.

It is well known that for generic f (e.g. Morse plus polynomials) we have $\mathbf{G} = Sp(2p, \mathbb{C})$. According to Theorem 2 this holds true also in the special Lotka-Volterra case $f = x^p y^p (1 - x - y)$, $p = 2$. *We conjecture that* $\mathbf{G} = Sp(2p, \mathbb{C})$ *for every integer* $p \geq 1$.

On the other hand, if f is a composite polynomial, $f = g \circ h$, where $h : \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is a polynomial mapping, we can not expect that $\mathbf{G} = Sp(2p, \mathbb{C})$. A simple example is $f = y^2 + P(x^2)$ for P a polynomial of degree at least three. The natural involution $x \to -x$ induces a decomposition $H_1(f^{-1}(t), \mathbb{Z})/V_0 = V_+ \oplus V_-$ where $V_\pm$ are invariant under $\mathbf{G}$ so $\mathbf{G} \neq Sp(2p, \mathbb{C})$. We note that by the Ritt theorem [6] a univariate polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is composite if and only if its monodromy group is imprimitive. Are there examples of non-composite bivariate polynomials $f = f(x, y)$, such that $\mathbf{G} \neq Sp(2p, \mathbb{C})$?

References

[1] V. I. Arnold, V. S. Afrajmovich, Yu. S. Il′yashenko, and L. P. Shil′nikov. *Bifurcation theory and catastrophe theory.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999. Reprint of the 1994 English edition from the series Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences *Dynamical systems. V*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 5, Springer, Berlin, 1994.

[2] V.I. Arnold, S.M. Gusein-Zade, and A.N. Varchenko. *Singularities of differentiable maps, Volume 2. Monodromy and asymptotics of integrals.* Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, reprint of the 1988 hardback edition edition, 2012.

[3] Marcin Bobieński and Lubomir Gavrilov. On the reduction of the degree of linear differential operators. *Nonlinearity*, 24(2):373–388, 2011.

[4] Ronald Brown. *Topology and groupoids*. BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006.

[5] W. A. Coppel. Some quadratic systems with at most one limit cycle. In *Dynamics reported, Vol. 2*, volume 2 of *Dynam. Report. Ser. Dynam. Systems Appl.*, pages 61–88. Wiley, Chichester, 1989.

[6] J. F. Ritt. Prime and composite polynomials. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 23:51–66, 1922.

[7] Dirk Siersma. The vanishing topology of non isolated singularities. In *New developments in singularity theory (Cambridge, 2000)*, volume 21 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 447–472. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.

[8] Stephan A. van Gils and Emil Horozov. Uniqueness of limit cycles in planar vector fields which leave the axes invariant. In *Multiparameter bifurcation theory (Arcata, Calif., 1985)*, volume 56 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 117–129. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.

[9] André Zegeling and Robert E. Kooij. Uniqueness of limit cycles in polynomial systems with algebraic invariants. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 49(1):7–20, 1994.

[10] Henryk Żołądek. Bifurcations of certain family of planar vector fields tangent to axes. *J. Differential Equations*, 67(1):1–55, 1987.

[11] Henryk Żołądek. Quadratic systems with center and their perturbations. *J. Differential Equations*, 109(2):223–273, 1994.

[12] Henryk Żołądek. Melnikov functions in quadratic perturbations of generalized Lotka-Volterra systems. *J. Dyn. Control Syst.*, 21(4):573–603, 2015.